

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ALPHONSO THURMOND,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:15-cv-636

v

HON. JANET T. NEFF

TAMMY LYNN GILL,

Defendants.

_____ /

ORDER

Plaintiff filed this *pro se* lawsuit against Defendant, purportedly for damages and criminal charges related to a roommate contract. The Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation (Dkt 7), recommending that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed because it failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and raises no federal question or other basis for federal jurisdiction (*id.* at Page ID# 25). Plaintiff has filed a purported "objection" to dismissal (Dkt 9), but he raises no substantive objection to the Magistrate Judge's determination.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3), the Court denies the objection and adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation as the Opinion of this Court. A Judgment will be entered consistent with this Order. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 58.

Because this action was filed *in forma pauperis*, this Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal of this decision would not be taken in good faith. *See McGore v. Wrigglesworth*, 114 F.3d 601, 610 (6th Cir. 1997), overruled on other grounds by *Jones v. Bock*, 549 U.S. 199, 206, 211-12 (2007).

Therefore:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Objection (Dkt 9) is DENIED and the Report and Recommendation (Dkt 7) is APPROVED and ADOPTED as the Opinion of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's complaint is DISMISSED for failure to state a claim.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) that an appeal of this decision would not be taken in good faith.

Dated: July 24, 2015

/s/ Janet T. Neff
JANET T. NEFF
United States District Judge